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- SPDR ${ }^{\circledR}$ Portfolio S\&P 500 Growth ETF (SPYG)
- 0.97\% current yield
- 21.2x P/E ratio
- $3.3 x \quad P /$ Sales ratio
- $6.4 x \quad$ P/Book ratio
- 15.6\% Return on assets
- SPDR ${ }^{\circledR}$ Portfolio S\&P 500 Value ETF (SPYV)
- 2.08\% current yield
- 19.7x P/E ratio
- 1.9x P/Sales ratio
- 2.6x P/Book ratio
- 6.7\% Return on assets
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- Invesco S\&P 500® Pure Value ETF (RPV)
- 2.09\% current yield
- $9.5 x \quad P / E$ ratio
- 0.6x P/Sales ratio
- 1.1x P/Book ratio
- 3.7\% Return on assets
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- SPDR ${ }^{\circledR}$ Portfolio S\&P 500 Value ETF (SPYV)
- 2.08\% current yield
- 19.7x P/E ratio
- 1.9x P/Sales ratio
- 2.6x P/Book ratio
- 6.7\% Return on assets
- Invesco S\&P $500^{\circledR}$ Pure Value ETF (RPV)
- 2.09\% current yield
- $9.5 x \quad P / E$ ratio
- 0.6x P/Sales ratio
- 1.1x P/Book ratio
- 3.7\% Return on assets
- Invesco S\&P SmallCap $600^{\circledR}$ Pure Value ETF (RZV)
- 1.22\% current yield
- 8.3x P/E ratio
- $0.3 x \quad P /$ Sales ratio
- 0.9x P/Book ratio
- 4.1\% Return on assets
- Russell 1000 Growth
- $P / E=23.8 x$
- Russell 1000 Value
- $P / E=15.5 x$

From January 2007 to September 2020, the relative valuation of value stocks to
growth stocks moved from the most expensive quartile (22nd most expensive percentile) to the cheapest percentile in history (100th percentile), explaining more than $100 \%$ of value's underperformance.

Performance of Value and Value vs. Growth Relative Valuations, United States, Jul 1963-Jun 2021


Value remains impressively cheap across all regions in our analysis, with the sole exception of Australia (where value is quite cheap based on price-to-book value ratio and neutral based on composite valuation).

Relative Valuations of Value vs. Growth, as of June 30, 2021

## Panel A. Relative Valuation Using Price-to-Book Value Ratio



Panel B. Relative Valuation Using an Average of Four Valuation Ratios


| Asset Type | Category | Asset Class | Index Name | Nominal Return (Expected 10Y) | Real Return (Expected 10Y) | Delta relative to the S\&P 500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public Equity | Developed Markets | US Large Growth | Russell 1000 Growth | 4.81 | 1.49 | -0.42 |
| Public Equity | Developed Markets | US Large | S\&P 500 | 5.23 | 1.90 | 0 |
| Public Equity | Developed Markets | US Large Value | Russell 1000 Value | 6.92 | 3.60 | 1.69 |
| Public Equity | Multi- <br> Country | EAFE Growth | MSCI EAFE Growth | 7.00 | 3.67 | 1.77 |
| Public Equity | Multi- <br> Country | EAFE | MSCI EAFE | 10.26 | 6.93 | 5.03 |
| Public Equity | Multi- <br> Country | EAFE Value | MSCI EAFE Value | 12.36 | 9.03 | 7.13 |

SOURCE: Research Affiliates as of Feb 20. 2023


- Bull and bear markets for the value risk premium
- Spanning 1926 . . . Through today

Bull and bear markets for the value risk premium since 1926

|  | Cumulative percentage return, unannualized | Duration in years | Start date | End date | Volatility, annualized standard deviation of monthly returns | Percentage of monthly returns that were POSITIVE | Annualized return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -52 | 5.25 | Feb 1927 | May 1932 | 16.1 | 32 | -13.1 |
|  | 78 | 0.25 | May 1932 | Aug 1932 | 42.3 | 100 | 902.9 |
|  | -38 | 0.33 | Aug 1932 | Dec 1932 | 13.2 | 0 | -76.4 |
|  | 94 | 0.67 | Dec 1932 | Aug 1933 | 33.0 | 75 | 170.7 |
|  | -50 | 1.58 | Aug 1933 | Mar 1935 | 23.6 | 26 | -35.5 |
|  | 86 | 2.00 | Mar 1935 | Mar 1937 | 15.4 | 71 | 36.3 |
|  | -46 | 2.42 | Mar 1937 | Aug 1939 | 15.4 | 34 | -22.5 |
|  | 2376 | 49.25 | Aug 1939 | Nov 1988 | 10.6 | 54 | 6.7 |
|  | -28 | 3.08 | Nov 1988 | Dec 1991 | 5.7 | 38 | -10.1 |
|  | 49 | 6.42 | Dec 1991 | May 1998 | 8.3 | 57 | 6.4 |
|  | -33 | 1.58 | May 1998 | Dec 1999 | 10.2 | 26 | -22.4 |
|  | 162 | 7.00 | Dec 1999 | Dec 2006 | 11.2 | 69 | 14.7 |
|  | -37 | 2.17 | Dec 2006 | Feb 2009 | 16.1 | 27 | -19.0 |
|  | 28 | 0.58 | Feb 2009 | Sep 2009 | 11.8 | 86 | 51.7 |
|  | -58 | 11.00 | Sep 2009 | Sep 2020 | 11.0 | 40 | -7.7 |
|  | 75 | 2.25 | Sep 2020 | ? | 18.1 | 63 | 28.2 |
| $\underset{\text { market }}{\text { Median BULL }}$ | 86 | 2.00 |  |  | 11.8 | 71 | 36.3 |
| Median BEAR market | -42 | 2.29 |  |  | 14.3 | 29 | -20.7 |

- Since 1926
- The longest cycle favoring growth
- 11.0 years
- Started Sep 2009
- Ended Sep 2020
- Value underperformed growth by a cumulative -58\% during this 11 years
- Or -7.7\% per year . . . for 11 uninterrupted years
- Interest rates . . . fell
- Inflation . . . fell
- $2^{\text {nd }}$ wave of the tech boom
- COVID
- Hitting the capital- and labor-intensive sectors associated with value stocks the hardest
- The virtual economy (frothy growth stocks) was largely unscathed
- Very legitimate bankruptcy fears drove investors to shun these value stocks and pursue growth stocks
- Tech was allowed to grow without regulation . . . or controls
- Each of these has now ended
- The current cycle favors value
- Started Sep 2020
- Through Dec 2022 . . . Value has outperformed growth by a cumulative +75\%
- For comparison . . . Consider how value performed immediately following the Tech Wreck of Dec 1999
- Value outperformed growth for 7.0 years
- Started on Dec 1999
- Ended on Dec 2006
- Cumulative outperformance for value (over growth) was $+162 \%$
- Or $14.7 \%$ of outperformance per year . for 7 years

Value when . . . interest rates are rising

## Real interest rate cycles - large and long-lasting



## Value when . . . interest rates are rising

Summary statistics for eras when interest rates are either rising or falling (episodically)

| Index | Return (geometric annualized mean) | Risk adjusted return (return divided by standard deviation) | Risk (annualized standard deviation of monthly returns) | Autocorrelation (from one month to the next) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| During falling episodic interest rate environments (covering 3 episodic eras, spanning $\mathbf{6 0 . 5 \%}$ of the months) |  |  |  |  |
| Total market | 8.16 | 0.35 | 23.2 | 0.16 |
| Growth | 7.57 | 0.37 | 20.6 | 0.10 |
| Value | 9.08 | 0.31 | 29.3 | 0.18 |
| Value risk premium | 1.40 | 0.09 | 15.5 | 0.19 |
| Traditional commonly-used value | 8.67 | 0.33 | 26.5 | 0.18 |
| Moderate deep value | 9.08 | 0.31 | 29.3 | 0.18 |
| Risk managed moderate deep value | 12.57 | 0.50 | 25.0 | 0.13 |
| Moderately aggressive deep value | 9.55 | 0.29 | 32.9 | 0.18 |
| Risk managed moderately aggressive deep value | 13.37 | 0.47 | 28.5 | 0.12 |
| During rising episodic interest rate environments (covering 4 episodic eras, spanning 39.5\% of the months) |  |  |  |  |
| Total market | 15.00 | 1.10 | 13.6 | 0.00 |
| Growt | 12.95 | 0.88 | 14.8 | 0.02 |
| Value | 18.59 | 1.16 | 16.1 | -0.01 |
| Value risk premium | 5.00 | 0.51 | 9.9 | 0.09 |
| Traditional commonly-used value | 16.92 | 1.16 | 14.6 | -0.01 |
| Moderate deep value | 18.59 | 1.16 | 16.1 | -0.01 |
| Risk managed moderate deep value | 19.12 | 1.26 | 15.2 | 0.03 |
| Moderately aggressive deep value | 18.45 | 1.07 | 17.3 | 0.02 |
| Risk managed moderately aggressive deep value | 19.03 | 1.15 | 16.5 | 0.06 |

Based on the iniep period spanning $6 / 3011926$ through $12 / 31 / 2022$

| Index | Return (geometric annualized mean) | Risk adjusted return (return divided by standard deviation) | Risk (annualized standard deviation of monthly returns) | Autocorrelation (from one month to the next) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| During rising episodic interest rate environments (covering 4 episodic eras, spanning 39.5\% of the months) |  |  |  |  |
| Total market | 15.00 | 1.10 | 13.6 | 0.00 |
| Growth | 12.95 | 0.88 | 14.8 | 0.02 |
| Value | 18.59 | 1.16 | 16.1 | -0.01 |
| Value risk premium | 5.00 | 0.51 | 9.9 | 0.09 |
| Traditional commonly-used value | 16.92 | 1.16 | 14.6 | -0.01 |
| Moderate deep value | 18.59 | 1.16 | 16.1 | -0.01 |
| Risk managed moderate deep value | 19.12 | 1.26 | 15.2 | 0.03 |
| Moderately aggressive deep value | 18.45 | 1.07 | 17.3 | 0.02 |
| Risk managed moderately aggressive deep value | 19.03 | 1.15 | 16.5 | 0.06 |


| Index | Return (geometric annualized mean) | Risk adjusted return (return divided by standard deviation) | Risk (annualized standard deviation of monthly returns) | Autocorrelation (from one month to the next) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| During rising episodic interest rate environments (covering 4 episodic eras, spanning 39.5\% of the months) |  |  |  |  |
| Total market | 15.00 | 1.10 | 13.6 | 0.00 |
| Growth | 12.95 | 0.88 | 14.8 | 0.02 |
| Value | 18.59 | 1.16 | 16.1 | -0.01 |
| Value risk premium | 5.00 | 0.51 | 9.9 | 0.09 |
| Traditional commonly-used value | 16.92 | 1.16 | 14.6 | -0.01 |
| Moderate deep value | 18.59 | 1.16 | 16.1 | -0.01 |
| Risk managed moderate deep value | 19.12 | 1.26 | 15.2 | 0.03 |
| Moderately aggressive deep value | 18.45 | 1.07 | 17.3 | 0.02 |
| Risk managed moderately aggressive deep value | 19.03 | 1.15 | 16.5 | 0.06 |

Expected inflation cycles - large and long-lasting


Statistics for eras when EXPECTED inflation is either rising or falling (episodically)

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Index } & \begin{array}{c}
\text { Return (geometric anualized } \\
\text { mean) }
\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Risk adjusted return (return } \\
\text { divided by tandard } \\
\text { deviation) }
\end{array}
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { Risk (annualized standard } \\
\text { deviation of monthly returns) }
\end{array}\right) \begin{gathered}
\text { Autocorrelation (from one } \\
\text { month to the next) }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\text { During falling episodic expected inflation environments (covering } 3 \text { such eras, spanning } 46.8 \% \text { of the months) }
$$

| Total market | 14.48 | 0.95 | 15.2 | 0.07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth | 13.23 | 0.81 | 16.3 | 0.07 |
| Value | 15.73 | 0.92 | 17.1 | 0.09 |
| Value risk premium | 2.20 | 0.21 | 10.4 | 0.13 |
| Traditional commonly-used value | 15.23 | 0.95 | 16.0 | 0.08 |
| Moderate deep value | 15.73 | 0.92 | 17.1 | 0.09 |
| Risk managed moderate deep value | 18.17 | 1.13 | 16.0 | 0.05 |
| Moderately aggressive deep value | 17.00 | 0.93 | 18.2 | 0.08 |
| Risk managed moderately aggressive deep value | 19.47 | 1.12 | 17.3 | 0.05 |
| During rising episodic expected inflation environments (covering 3 such eras, spanning 53.2\% of the months) |  |  |  |  |
| Total market | 7.68 | 0.33 | 23.4 | 0.16 |
| Growth | 6.61 | 0.33 | 20.3 | 0.09 |
| Value | 10.17 | 0.34 | 30.3 | 0.17 |
| Value risk premium | 3.34 | 0.21 | 15.9 | 0.19 |
| Traditional commonly-used value | 8.97 | 0.33 | 27.0 | 0.17 |
| Moderate deep value | 10.17 | 0.34 | 30.3 | 0.17 |
| Risk managed moderate deep value | 12.49 | 0.49 | 25.6 | 0.13 |
| Moderately aggressive deep value | 9.56 | 0.28 | 34.0 | 0.18 |
| Risk managed moderately aggressive deep value | 12.24 | 0.42 | 29.3 | 0.12 |

Based on the time period spanning $6 / 3011926$ trough $12 / 3112022$

| Index | Return (geometric annualized mean) | Risk adjusted return (return divided by standard deviation) | Risk (annualized standard deviation of monthly returns) | Autocorrelation (from one month to the next) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| During rising episodic expected inflation environments (covering 3 such eras, spanning 53.2\% of the months) |  |  |  |  |
| Total market | 7.68 | 0.33 | 23.4 | 0.16 |
| Growth | 6.61 | 0.33 | 20.3 | 0.09 |
| Value | 10.17 | 0.34 | 30.3 | 0.17 |
| Value risk premium | 3.34 | 0.21 | 15.9 | 0.19 |
| Traditional commonly-used value | 8.97 | 0.33 | 27.0 | 0.17 |
| Moderate deep value | 10.17 | 0.34 | 30.3 | 0.17 |
| Risk managed moderate deep value | 12.49 | 0.49 | 25.6 | 0.13 |
| Moderately aggressive deep value | 9.56 | 0.28 | 34.0 | 0.18 |
| Risk managed moderately aggressive deep value | 12.24 | 0.42 | 29.3 | 0.12 |


| Index | Return (geometric annualized mean) | Risk adjusted return (return divided by standard deviation) | Risk (annualized standard deviation of monthly returns) | Autocorrelation (from one month to the next) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| During rising episodic expected inflation environments (covering 3 such eras, spanning 53.2\% of the months) |  |  |  |  |
| Total market | 7.68 | 0.33 | $23.4$ | 0.16 |
| Growth | 6.61 | 0.33 | 20.3 | 0.09 |
| Value | 10.17 | 0.34 | 30.3 | 0.17 |
| Value risk premium | 3.34 | 0.21 | 15.9 | 0.19 |
| Traditional commonly-used value | 8.97 | 0.33 | 27.0 | 0.17 |
| Moderate deep value | 10.17 | 0.34 | 30.3 | 0.17 |
| Risk managed moderate deep value | 12.49 | 0.49 | 25.6 | 0.13 |
| Moderately aggressive deep value | 9.56 | 0.28 | 34.0 | 0.18 |
| Risk managed moderately aggressive deep value | 12.24 | 0.42 | 29.3 | 0.12 |

Value when . . . economy is growing very slowly or poorly
When has U.S. economic growth disappointed, and by how much


Value when . . . economy is growing very slowly or poorly

## Summary statistics for when U.S. GDP was growing strongly or weakly

| Statistic | Total market | Growth | Value | Value risk premium | Traditional commonly-used value | Moderate deep value | Risk managed moderate deep value | Moderately aggressive deep value | Risk managed moderately aggressive deep value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STRONG growth - When real U.S. GDP growth was greater than $0.94 \%$ (covers $76.68 \%$ of the months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Return (geometric annualized mean) | 14.74 | 12.70 | 17.23 | 4.02 | 16.17 | 17.23 | 18.28 | 17.42 | 18.71 |
| Risk adjusted return (return divided by standard deviation) | 0.91 | 0.80 | 0.87 | 0.34 | 0.90 | 0.87 | 0.95 | 0.78 | 0.86 |
| Risk (annualized standard deviation of monthly returns) | 16.12 | 15.79 | 19.79 | 11.92 | 17.87 | 19.79 | 19.23 | 22.25 | 21.72 |
| WEAK growth - When real U.S. GDP growth was less than $0.94 \%$ (covers $23.32 \%$ of the months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Return (geometric annualized mean) | -1.19 | 0.23 | -0.85 | -1.08 | -1.25 | -0.85 | 5.29 | -0.46 | 5.81 |
| Risk adjusted return (return divided by standard deviation) | na | 0.01 | na | na | na | na | 0.19 | na | 0.18 |
| Risk (annualized standard deviation of monthly returns) | 29.14 | 25.48 | 37.16 | 18.00 | 33.46 | 37.16 | 28.15 | 40.94 | 31.83 |

Based on the time period spanning 6/30/1926 through 12/31/2022
"Strong" and "Weak" growth is measured for each individual month, but looks back 6 months and forward 6 months (in other words, for a 12 -month interval, but centered on the middle of that interval)

| Statistic | Total market | Growth | Value | Value risk premium | Traditional commonly-used value | Moderate deep value | Risk managed moderate deep value | Moderately aggressive deep value | Risk managed moderately aggressive deep value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WEAK growth - When real U.S. GDP growth was less than $0.94 \%$ (covers $23.32 \%$ of the months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Return (geometric annualized mean) | -1.19 | 0.23 | -0.85 | -1.08 | -1.25 | -0.85 | 5.29 | -0.46 | 5.81 |
| Risk adjusted return (return divided by standard deviation) | na | 0.01 | na | na | na | na | 0.19 | na | 0.18 |
| Risk (annualized standard deviation of monthly returns) | 29.14 | 25.48 | 37.16 | 18.00 | 33.46 | 37.16 | 28.15 | 40.94 | 31.83 |


| Statistic | Total market | Growth | Value | Value risk premium | $\begin{gathered} \text { Traditional } \\ \text { commonly-used } \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ | Moderate deep value | Risk managed moderate deep value | Moderately aggressive deep value | Risk managed moderately aggressive deep value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## WEAK growth - When real U.S. GDP growth was less than $0.94 \%$ (covers $23.32 \%$ of the months)

| Return (geometric annualized mean) | -1.19 | 0.23 | -0.85 | -1.08 | -1.25 | -0.85 | 5.29 | -0.46 | 5.81 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk adjusted return (return divided by standard deviation) | na | 0.01 | na | na | na | na | 0.19 | na | 0.18 |
| Risk (annualized standard deviation of monthly returns) | 29.14 | 25.48 | 37.16 | 18.00 | 33.46 | 37.16 | 28.15 | 40.94 | 31.83 |

## How to define value

Old method . . . Price-to-book value ratio
New method . . . Multi-dimensional

- Price-to-earnings ratio
- Price-to-book value ratio adjusted for intangibles
- Price-to-sales ratio
- Fundamental-weight-to-cap-weight ratio
- Where fundamental weight is a blend of
- Five-year average sales, cash flows, and dividends and
- The most recent book value
- Price-to-book ratio is only one of many ways to define value
- Intrinsic value is another definition, one introduced by Graham and Dodd
- They cautioned against the use of $P / B$ as a substitute for intrinsic value
- In today's economy, this warning is even more relevant
- Today, companies' intangible assets - intellectual property, patents, brands, software, human capital, reputational capital, customer relationships - are often at the core of their ability to generate and maintain profit margins
- Yet these aspects are almost totally ignored by simple Book Value
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In mid-March 2020, we wrote in "This Too Shall Pass" that disruptions such as the Covid-19 pandemic are not permanent and that investors can look beyond immediate travails to an eventual return to normalcy. Who knew that 17 months later the world would still be dealing with the pandemic and its fallout? Yet, the truism remains: This too shall pass.
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## Punchline

"Value stocks standout as the only asset class likely to generate a $5 \%-10 \%$ real return over the coming decade" . . . Research Affiliates
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# Will interest rates and inflation rise through 2040 . . . "YES" 

Friday<br>March $3^{\text {rd }}$<br>11:00 a.m. EASTERN

All data and statistics were provided by Global Financial Data, Inc. and NDR, Inc. (unless otherwise indicated in the exhibit)
This information in this presentation is for the purpose of information exchange. This is not a solicitation or offer to buy or sell any security. You must do your own due diligence and consult a professional investment advisor before making any investment decisions. The use of a proprietary technique, model or algorithm does not guarantee any specific or profitable results. Past performance is not indicative of future returns. The performance data presented are gross returns, unless otherwise noted.

The risk of loss in trading securities can be substantial. You should therefore carefully consider whether such trading is suitable for you in light of your financial condition. All information posted is believed to come from reliable sources. We do not warrant the accuracy or completeness of information made available and therefore will not be liable for any losses incurred.

Some part of the investment performance shown is HYPOTHETICAL. It is based on the back tests of historical data. Hypothetical performance results have many inherent limitations, some of which are described below. No representation is being made that any account will or is likely to achieve profits or losses similar to those shown. In fact, there are frequently sharp differences between hypothetical performance results and the actual results subsequently achieved by any particular trading program.

One of the limitations of hypothetical performance results is that they are generally prepared with the benefit of hindsight. In addition, hypothetical trading does not involve financial risk, and no hypothetical trading record can completely account for the impact of financial risk in actual trading. For example, the ability to withstand losses or adhere to a particular trading program in spite of trading losses are material points which can also adversely affect actual trading results. There are numerous other factors related to the markets in general or to the implementation of any specific trading program which cannot be fully accounted for in the presentation of hypothetical performance results and all of which can adversely affect actual trading results.

The composition of a benchmark index may not reflect the manner in which a Julex portfolio is constructed in relation to expected or achieved returns, investment holdings, portfolio guidelines, restrictions, sectors, correlations, concentrations, volatility, or tracking error targets, all of which are subject to change over time.

No representation or warranty is made to the reasonableness of the assumptions made or that all assumptions used to construct the performance provided have been stated or fully considered.

